HONORABLE RONALD B. LEIGHTON 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 9 AT TACOMA 10 DENNIS R. HOPKINS, Case No. C07-5621RBL 11 Plaintiff, 12 **ORDER** v. 13 TACOMA MUNICIPAL COURT, et al; TACOMA POLICE DEPARTMENT, et al; 14 TACOMA POLICE OFFICERS, et al; JEFF VAZURA, et al; CHUCK WEST, et al; and 15 KEITH O'ROURKE, Tacoma Police Officer, 16 Defendant. 17 DENNIS R. HOPKINS, 18 Case No. C07-5638RBL Plaintiff, 19 ORDER v. 20 LEONARD W. KRAUSE, et al; JUDGE, 21 SUPERIOR COURT OF KITSAP COUNTY, e al; STEPHEN J. HOLMES, Jr. Deputy 22 Kitsap County; MARK LI YELISH, Court Appointed, et al; BREMERTON POLICE 23 DEPARTMENT, et al; ELAINE FRALEY, et al; and ARTHUR J. POLEY, et al, 24 Defendants. 25 26 THIS MATTER comes on before the above-entitled Court upon Plaintiff's Second Application to 27 Proceed In Forma Pauperis [Dkt. #5]. Having considered the entirety of the records and file herein, the Court 28 finds and rules as follows: **ORDER** Page - 1

6 7

9 10

8

11 12

13 14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25 26

27

28

On November 9, 2007 plaintiff filed an Application to Proceed In Forma Pauperis and a Proposed Complaint [Dkt. #1]. On November 13, 2007 this Court entered an Order informing the plaintiff that his application was "incomplete." The Order went on to state: "Plaintiff states he is employed but the salary information is undecipherable and plaintiff does not provide the name of his employer. Plaintiff also indicates that he receives SSI benefits but does not disclose the amount." [Order, Dkt. #2].

On November 14, 2007 the plaintiff submitted a second Application. In this Application, he now indicates that he is not employed, but does not provide the required information as to his last employment and the wages or salary therefrom. He does indicate that he receives \$623.00 in SSI benefits. Furthermore, on November 16, 2007 plaintiff submitted an Application to Proceed In Forma Pauperis and a separate Complaint against different defendants. [Hopkins v. Krause, et al, C07-5638RBL]. That Application suffers from the same defects as the second Application in C07-5621.

The Court finds that plaintiff has failed to file proper affidavits of indigence and, as such, plaintiff's Applications to Proceed In Forma Pauperis in C07-5621RBL and C07-5638RBL are **DENIED**. All pending motions are hereby **STRICKEN**. Plaintiff shall have thirty (30) days to pay the filing fees or these two cases will be **DISMISSED**.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

The Clerk shall send uncertified copies of this order to all counsel of record, and to any party appearing pro se.

Dated this 13th day of December, 2007.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE